		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA	
3	WESTERN DIVISION	
4	x	
5	THOMAS HEYER and ROBERT BOYD, :	
6	Plaintiffs, :	
7	v. : Civil Action No.	
8	UNITED STATES BUREAU OF : 5:11-CT-3118-D	
9	PRISONS, et al., :	
10	Defendants. :	
11	x	
12	SENSITIVE - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	
13	Deposition of U.S. BUREAU OF PRISONS,	
14	By and through its Designated Representative,	
15	TODD RODRICK CRAIG	
16	Washington, DC	
17	Thursday, January 9, 2014	
18	9:39 a.m.	
19		
20	Job No.: 49884	
21	Pages: 1 - 303	
22	Reported by: Lee Bursten, RMR, CRR	

	141
1	MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. If we could go off the
2	record for just a moment.
3	(Discussion off the record.)
4	BY MR. HOFFMAN:
5	Q Mr. Craig, before we took the last break,
6	we were speaking about Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 71,
7	your December 20th report. And we were speaking
8	about the impediments that your office had identified
9	to implementing the solution of recording VRS calls
10	via VCR or DVR recorder. I'm going to ask you a few
11	more questions about that.
12	One of the impediments that BOP has
13	identified to recording VRS calls using VCR or DVR is
14	that it is not automated. Is that correct?
15	A That is correct.
16	Q And isn't it correct that recording of TTY
17	calls is not automated either?
18	A Correct.
19	Q The BOP has identified as another
20	impediment to recording videophone calls through VCR
21	or DVR, one of the impediments being that using those
22	mathods is not sufficiently robust to ansure that "a

	142
1	significant number of recordings can be retained."
2	Is it true that's one of the impediments
3	that you have identified?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And isn't it true that the same impediment
6	is in place with respect to the recording of TTY
7	calls?
8	A Could be.
9	Q It could be how? How could it be an
10	impediment I'm sorry, how could it be a concern
11	with respect to recording TTY calls?
12	A Well, storage space. That's the central
13	issue. Eventually you run out of file
14	Q File cabinets?
15	A File cabinets, yes. So the answer would
16	then be yes.
17	Q Another impediment that you have identified
18	with respect to recording videophones using a VCR or
19	DVR is that neither of those VCR or DVR solutions is
20	sufficiently robust to ensure that all VRS calls are
21	recorded.
22	Is that one of the impediments that you've

Í	
	143
1	identified with respect to using a VCR or DVR?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Isn't that same concern also applicable to
4	recording of TTY calls?
5	A Again, could be.
6	Q And how could it be applicable to recording
7	TTY calls, how could that same concern be applicable?
8	A Can you refresh me which page?
9	Q Sure. We're at page 9 of the December 20th
10	report, Bates number 4939. We're at the second to
11	last paragraph, the last sentence of that paragraph.
12	It begins, "Unfortunately, neither of these
13	solutions."
14	A All right. Restate the last question,
15	please.
16	Q Sure. So the first part of that sentence
17	says, "Neither of these solutions," the VCR or DVR,
18	"are automated and neither is sufficiently robust to
19	ensure that all VRS calls are recorded." Those are
20	the concerns about recording using VCR and DVR,
21	right?
22	A Mm-hmm. Yes.

	144
1	Q And you testified earlier, the concern here
2	is that BOP it's not automated in that BOP staff
3	would have to manually press play/record each time,
4	right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Isn't that same concern present with TTY?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Another impediment you've identified here
9	on page 9 of page 4939 is that neither VCR recording
10	or DVR recording is sufficiently robust to ensure
11	that calls can be preserved with sufficient
12	identifying information. Am I correct that's one of
13	the impediments for recording via phone using VCR or
14	DVR?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Isn't that same concern applicable to
17	recording TTY calls?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And that's because and why is that?
20	I'll say it that way.
21	A Well, they're both storage of media, with a
22	small M, media. And although the names, the inmates'

	145
1	identifying information, such as their first and last
2	name or register number, could be inscribed on that,
3	there's no guarantee that's going to be permanently
4	embedded, unlike the electronic database of the
5	Inmate Telephone System, would preserve that with
6	sufficient network backups in perpetuity.
7	Q Recording of TTY calls involves using a
8	logbook, right?
9	A Manual. Manual inscription logbook, as
10	well as compilation or filing of the written
11	transcript.
12	Q And what kind of information is put in the
13	logbook for TTY?
14	A Name, number, duration of call, and the
15	call number it was placed to.
16	Q And so for TTY, the staff member has to
17	manually write that information down with respect to
18	each call?
19	A Agreed, or entered on a computer log or
20	whatever.
21	Q Right. It's not automated, in that the
22	staff member has to record that information manually

	146
1	in some way with each call?
2	A That is correct.
3	Q You also testified earlier that one of the
4	impediments to providing to recording videophone
5	using VHS or DVR is that another inmate or someone
6	else could possibly destroy or tamper with the tapes,
7	like the VHS tapes or CDs or DVDs. Am I correct
8	that's a potential impediment to using this method?
9	A Absolutely.
10	Q And isn't it also correct that the same
11	risk is there with respect to the TTY printouts?
12	A Yes.
13	Q You also mentioned DOJ IT security
14	protocols earlier in connection with well, how did
15	those come up? You tell me. How did DOJ IT security
16	protocols factor in here?
17	A Absolutely they factor in.
18	Q And how do they factor in?

154 1 preexisted the agency's decision to implement 2 enterprise-wide solutions. 3 In preparing this report, the December 20th 4 report, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 71, did you consider whether the recording solutions, of recording through 5 a VCR or DVR, could be implemented following the same 6 7 protocols as TTY? 8 Α No, I did not. 9 0 Why not? 10 Because when I'm tasked to evaluate any new Α 11 security technology or any device or application that 12 falls within that realm, the direction I have been 1.3 given is to look for an enterprise-wide solution, not 14 do one-offs, not do one for one institution. 15 When we pilot a new technology, if it's 16 successful there, then it's moved out to the whole 17 enterprise, for, one, we're a policy-driven agency, consistency of policy; two, for cost effectiveness 18 19 value to the taxpayer dollar; and three, to maintain 20 security by an overarching centralized solution. 21 Is the provision of video remote 22 interpreting that is at place in Tucson, is that an

	155	
1	enterprise-wide solution?	
2	A No. That was the local solution	
3	implemented as a result of that litigation.	
4	Q Are you aware that video remote	
5	interpreting has not been implemented at Butner?	
6	A I'm aware there has been a waiver obtained	
7	for VRI at Butner; but aware it's not been	
8	implemented, yes.	
9	Q And why has it not been implemented at	
10	Butner?	
11	A Because the policy decision has been made	
12	not to implement it.	
13	Q Right. And that's what I'm asking. What's	
14	the policy decision?	
15	A I'm not the policy maker.	
16	Q Do you know first of all, who is the	
17	policy maker for that particular decision?	
18	A For that let me talk.	
19	Q Sure.	
20	A One thing at a time, if we could. The	
21	policy of the Bureau of Prisons is codified in	
22	program statements that have some concomitant Code of	

	229
1	also allows the user to copy, delete, and edit the
2	information about each of the calls." And then the
3	last sentence reads, "Although this type of
4	functionality is desirable in a consumer grade
5	program, it is not compatible with the BOP's need to
6	record and preserve these calls in a way that is not
7	subject to tampering by inmates."
8	Am I correct that this portion I just read
9	articulates a concern, an impediment to BOP's
10	provision of videophones to deaf inmates?
11	A Yes.
12	Q In order for an inmate to tamper with the
13	recorded data, both the recording itself and the data
14	about date and time, etc., the inmate would need to
15	have access to the computer, the keyboard and mouse
16	running the program; isn't that right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And if the separated solution I proposed
19	earlier were provided, where the monitor and webcam
20	were separated from the computer itself, this risk
21	here of tampering by inmates would also be
22	significantly reduced; isn't that correct?

	230
1	A Correct.
2	Q And in connection with this particular
3	concern articulated on the top of page 9 in Exhibit
4	71, in preparing this report, you didn't consider the
5	separated solution that I described, right?
6	A I did not.
7	Q If you were to take that into consideration
8	now, would you write the report in the same way?
9	A Recommendation the ultimate
10	recommendation would be the same. For
11	enterprise-wide solution that met all the records and
12	security concerns, obviously if I studied that, I
13	would address the evaluation of that. But I didn't.
14	Q So that potential solution I proposed, the
15	separated solution as I'm now referring to it, would
16	not change the outcome of your recommendation?
17	A Not on an enterprise level.
18	Q What about at a local level? Would it
19	change the outcome of your recommendation?
20	A I would have to work with that individual
21	warden to address it.
22	Q So your testimony is it might change the

237 1 live and recorded monitoring, whereas, you know, 2 absent that enterprise setup, the videophone or video relay service, VRI, which is much less of a concern 3 4 because of the contractually obligated interpreter for prison management events, they all have the same 5 6 attendant concerns. 7 0 And all TTY calls are live monitored, 8 right? 9 Α Correct. 10 Q And if you swapped out a videophone or 11 video relay device with TTY, there is no reason why 12 staff couldn't monitor it in the exact same way, 13 right? 14 Are you asking can staff provide direct 15 staff supervision for a video call? Yes. But again, none of this stuff that I've articulated time and 16 time again, would be --17 18 Right. So let's keep ticking through the 19 other stuff. We talked about DOJ IT security 20 concerns. And you mentioned, you said it's a thick 21 book, and there's many of them. And you mentioned I 22 believe two in particular; one, data encryption.

	238
1	A Correct.
2	Q But that could be solved by doing the
3	using the Guardian program to encrypt recordings,
4	right?
5	A Correct.
6	Q The other DOJ particular IT security
7	standard we talked about was providing an unsecured
8	DSL line, right?
9	A Well
10	Q That's part of
11	A Yes, that's part of it, counselor. But the
12	security standard is nothing that doesn't pass all
13	the standards will be attached to BOPNet or JUTNet,
14	Bureau of Prisons network or Department of Justice
15	network.
16	Q So other than those two, so under the
17	umbrella of DOJ IT security concerns, just for the
18	moment, the DOJ IT security concerns, we've
19	identified two issues.
20	A I've identified the two most important.
21	Q What are other particular DOJ IT security
22	concerns that would be raised by provision of

	264
1	solve this issue today and you picked up the phone,
2	who would you call first?
3	A Probably the facility manager.
4	Q And what's that person's name?
5	A I don't know.
6	Q But there's a particular facilities manager
7	assigned to
8	A We have 38,000 staff in the Bureau of
9	Prisons. Yes. Like I said, the individual I knew I
10	worked with on a number of projects, and has left
11	there.
12	Q Who is that individual?
13	A As previously stated, William Hammock.
14	Q And you testified earlier that provision of
15	VRI at Tucson is not an enterprise-wide solution,
16	correct?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q And it has not been implemented across all
19	BOP institutions, and in fact, hasn't been
20	implemented at any other BOP institutions; is that
21	right?
22	A That's correct.

	265
1	Q And why, given the importance of
2	implementing enterprise-wide solutions as you've
3	testified to, why is that the case, why is it only in
4	that one location?
5	A Because it was implemented to resolve the
6	concern of that litigation. That was my
7	understanding. But again, I didn't do the site
8	survey. I didn't do the evaluation. I didn't ask
9	for or secure the waiver.
10	Q And you're aware that the deposition that
11	you're sitting for today is in connection with
12	litigation arising out of the treatment of inmates at
13	Butner in North Carolina, correct?
14	A I'm aware of that.
15	Q And you're also aware that VRI has not been
16	provided to Mr. Heyer and Mr. Boyd in Butner?
17	A I'm aware of that.
18	Q Given that there is also litigation
19	demanding VRI, why has VRI not been implemented at
20	Butner?
21	MR. BREDENBERG: Objection. Speculation.
22	BY MR. HOFFMAN:

	266
1	Q Go ahead.
2	A I'm not the warden of that facility, so I
3	would not make that final decision.
4	Q Do you know why VRI has not been provided
5	at Butner?
6	A I do not.
7	Q At the very outset of the deposition,
8	counsel, your counsel, Ms. Dannels, made a note for
9	the record that you were designated to testify in
10	connection with two topics. And one of those topics
11	that she described was defendants' consideration of
12	whether to provide plaintiffs with access to a
13	videophone and any impediments to providing such
14	access.
15	Would you agree that that is the deposition
16	topic for which you have been designated to testify
17	today?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And do you understand that "plaintiffs"
20	refers to plaintiffs, Mr. Tommy Heyer and Robert
21	Boyd?
22	A Yes.